

epw
1641



PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/928,048	
	Filing Date	August 10, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	Thomas L. CANTOR	
	Art Unit	1641	
	Examiner Name	G. Counts	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	532212001500

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Statement of Substance of Interview (2 pages) Return Receipt Postcard
Remarks		
Customer No. 25225		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Name	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
Signature			
Printed name	Michael G. Smith		
Date	April 25, 2005	Reg. No.	44,422

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.	
Dated: April 25, 2005	Signature: (Norman Green)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: April 25, 2005

Signature: 

(Norman Green)

Docket No.: 532212001500
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Thomas L. CANTOR

Application No.: 09/928,048

Confirmation No.: 7860

Filed: August 10, 2001

Art Unit: 1641

For: METHODS AND DEVICES FOR DIRECT
DETERMINATION OF CYCLASE
INHIBITING PARATHYROID HORMONE

Examiner: G. Counts

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This statement is being filed as Applicant is required to file a statement of the substance of a telephonic interview conducted on February 10, 2005 in connection with the above-identified patent application. The interview was conducted between the undersigned and attorney Peng Chen, both representing the applicant, and Examiner Counts.

The telephonic interview was requested by the applicant to allow the applicant to explain that one part of a reference discussed in the response to the office action dated September 10, 2004 had been misinterpreted when the response was prepared. The applicant requested an interview prior to consideration of the response by the Examiner to prevent the applicant's misinterpretation of the reference from influencing the examination, and greatly appreciates that Examiner Counts scheduled the interview after receiving the office action response but before considering it.

The reference, identified as Gao (Clinica Chimica Acta, 245, pg. 39-59 (1996)), stated that PTHrp (1-86) was not recognized by a particular antibody; the applicant did not realize when responding to the office action that PTHrp (1-86) differs in amino acid sequence as well as in length from PTH (1-84). Because of this sequence difference, the reference does not fully support one aspect of the applicant's argument offered in the response. During the interview, the applicant explained how the argument is supported by other references of record and offered to provide further supporting information if it would be helpful. The Examiner noted that it might be useful to provide evidence that an antibody discussed in the D'Amour reference, on which the inventor of the subject matter of this application is a co-author, was obtained before the application's filing date.

Examiner Counts agreed to discuss the written description issue with his SPE. In a courtesy phone call a day after the interview, Examiner Counts stated that pursuant to a discussion with a Quality Assurance representative, the arguments and evidence presented were not fully persuasive and that an office action was forthcoming.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. 03-1952 referencing docket no. 532212001500. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: April 25, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Michael G. Smith

Registration No.: 44,422
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
3811 Valley Centre Drive, Suite 500
San Diego, California 92130
(858) 720-5113